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The immature retinas of preterm neonates are susceptible to insults that disrupt neurovascular growth, leading to retinopathy of prematurity. Suppression of growth factors due to hyperoxia and loss of the maternal–fetal interaction result in an arrest of retinal vascularisation (phase 1). Subsequently, the increasingly metabolically active, yet poorly vascularised, retina becomes hypoxic, stimulating growth factor-induced vasoproliferation (phase 2), which can cause retinal detachment. In very premature infants, controlled oxygen administration reduces but does not eliminate retinopathy of prematurity. Identification and control of factors that contribute to development of retinopathy of prematurity is essential to prevent progression to severe sight-threatening disease and to limit comorbidities with which the disease shares modifiable risk factors. Strategies to prevent retinopathy of prematurity will depend on optimisation of oxygen saturation, nutrition, and normalisation of concentrations of essential factors such as insulin-like growth factor 1 and ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, as well as curbing of the effects of infection and inflammation to promote normal growth and limit suppression of neurovascular development.

Introduction

In the late 1940s, retinopathy of prematurity appeared suddenly in preterm infants. The disorder, initially called retrolental fibroplasia, was characterised by a complete retinal detachment behind the lens. The cause of this first wave of retinopathy of prematurity was the use of supplemental oxygen in closed incubators, which helped to improve the survival of preterm infants, but also contributed to blindness.5

Optimum oxygenation to balance risk of retinopathy of prematurity against improved survival is still unknown. Studies7 have compared various oxygen saturation targets, but not actual patient oxygen saturation levels. Low oxygenation targets are associated with increased mortality, but the optimum timing and target concentration of oxygen treatment remain unanswered questions. Oxygen administration is better controlled when the concentration of oxygen treatment remain unanswered questions. Oxygen administration is better controlled and the disease shares modifiable risk factors. Strategies to prevent retinopathy of prematurity will depend on optimisation of oxygen saturation, nutrition, and normalisation of concentrations of essential factors such as insulin-like growth factor 1 and ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, as well as curbing of the effects of infection and inflammation to promote normal growth and limit suppression of neurovascular development.

Where advanced care in neonatal intensive care units is available, most cases of retinopathy of prematurity occur in extremely low-gestational-age neonates (gestational age of less than 28 weeks at birth). The low concentrations of factors important for development that are normally provided in utero prevent the very immature retinas of extremely preterm infants from vascularising normally, which can precipitate the disease.5,6,8,9 possibly with different effects during different developmental stages. Identification of postnatal factors that affect the risk for and the course of retinopathy of prematurity might allow neonatologists and ophthalmologists to attempt to prevent the disease and to limit comorbidities with which it shares modifiable risk factors.

Epidemiology

Worldwide about 10% of births occur preterm (before gestational age 37 full weeks).10 Preterm birth is the most common cause of neonatal death,11 and the second most common cause of death in children younger than 5 years.12 Comparisons of the incidence of retinopathy of prematurity from population-based studies is difficult because of substantial variability in study designs, gestational ages of included infants, survival rates, and treatments used. In a prospective study from Sweden13 in infants with a gestational age of less than 27 weeks at birth, retinopathy of prematurity (at any stage) was reported in 73% (368/506) and severe retinopathy of prematurity was reported in 35% (176/506). In a study in Norway14 of infants with a gestational age of less than 28 weeks at birth, retinopathy of prematurity (at any stage) was reported in 33% (95/290). Investigators of a study in Belgium15 in which infants with a gestational age of less than 27 weeks at birth were included reported severe retinopathy of prematurity in 26% (45/175). A study from Australia and New Zealand16 of infants with a gestational age of less than 29 weeks at birth reported severe retinopathy of prematurity in 10% (203/2105). In a study

Search strategy and selection criteria

We searched PubMed using the terms “retinopathy of prematurity”, “retinal vascular development”, “ROP risk factors”, “omega polyunsaturated fatty acids”, “oxygen”, “VEGF”, “erythropoietin”, “IGF-1”, “postnatal growth”, “inflammation”, and “infection”, in various combinations. We mainly selected articles published in the past 5 years, but also included widely referenced and highly regarded older publications. Relevant articles from the reference lists of those identified by this search strategy and additional references suggested by peer reviewers were also included. Review articles and book chapters are cited to direct readers to further information and additional references.
in Austria, severe disease was reported in 16% (50/316) of babies with a gestational age of less than 27 weeks at birth. In a Finnish study in infants with birthweights of less than 1000 g, severe retinopathy of prematurity was seen in only 5–10% (no numbers reported).

Thus, prevalence estimates from population-based studies vary even among countries with similar neonatal intensive care facilities. This variation might be partly accounted for by differences in the proportions of infants at high risk of retinopathy of prematurity who survive when born at an early gestational age—in Sweden 11.5% of survivors were born in weeks 22–23, compared with 0–6% in the other studies.

An alternative to non-uniform and intermittent data collections in many countries or regions would be occasional snapshots of the burden of severe disease in one geographical area with uniform care. Sweden now has a register (SWEDROP) for all children screened for retinopathy of prematurity, which is used to measure incidence. Taken as a whole, the data do not suggest that incidence has changed substantially over time. Perhaps increased survival of very immature infants at high risk for the disease balanced against improved neonatal intensive care can account for this finding.

Incidence can also increase when neonatal care is sufficient to save the babies’ lives but insufficient to prevent disease—e.g., through use of uncontrolled oxygen delivery.

Pathogenesis
Retinopathy of prematurity can be viewed as an arrest of normal retinal neuronal and vascular development in the preterm infant, with ultimately pathological compensatory mechanisms that result in aberrant vascularisation of the retina. The more profound the immaturity at birth and the persistence of developmental arrest due to exposure of the retina to harmful factors, coupled with deficiencies of factors normally provided in utero, the more aggressive the later pathological response. The disease has two postnatal phases (figure 1), possibly preceded by a prephase of antenatal sensitisation via inflammation (figure 2). Understanding these phases and their causes might allow the identification of the optimum postnatal environment for these immature babies.

In 1952, Patz and coworkers showed in a clinical study the association between administration of very high concentrations of oxygen and retinopathy of prematurity. Ashton then established the notion of oxygen toxicity (phase 1) followed by hypoxia-mediated vasoproliferation (phase 2) through work in cats.

In both animal and human studies, hyperoxia is an important driver for the arrest of vascular growth in phase 1. Even room air can lead to hyperoxia compared with the intrauterine environment, where mean oxygen pressure is less than 50 mm Hg during the second half of pregnancy. More importantly, supplemental oxygen given to premature infants with respiratory distress can

Figure 1: Progression of retinopathy of prematurity
(A) Oxygen tension is low in utero and vascular growth is normal. (B) Phase 1: after birth until roughly 30 weeks postmenstrual age, retinal vascularisation is inhibited because of hyperoxia and loss of the nutrients and growth factors provided at the maternal–fetal interface. Blood-vessel growth stops and as the retina matures and metabolic demand increases, hyoxia results. (C) Phase 2: the hypoxic retina stimulates expression of the oxygen-regulated factors such as erythropoietin (EPO) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which stimulate retinal neovascularisation. Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) concentrations increase slowly from low concentrations after preterm birth to concentrations high enough to allow activation of VEGF pathways. (D) Resolution of retinopathy might be achieved through prevention of phase 1 by increasing IGF-1 to in-utero concentrations and by limiting oxygen to prevent suppression of VEGF. Alternatively, VEGF can be suppressed in phase 2 after neovascularisation with laser therapy or an antibody. EPO=erythropoietin. ω-3 PUFA=ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. Adapted from reference 33, by permission of the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology.
lead to abnormally high oxygen saturation. Hyperoxia leads to suppression of oxygen-regulated angiogenic growth factors, particularly erythropoietin and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which in turn causes both cessation of retinal vessel growth and loss of some existing retinal vessels (a process that has been partly reversed in mice with the replacement of VEGF and erythropoietin). Some investigators speculate that in more mature infants, exposure to high oxygen concentrations causes loss of existing vessels not seen with controlled oxygen delivery, which mainly causes cessation of vessel growth.

Like preterm infants, newborn cats, rats, and mice have incomplete retinal vascularisation at birth and oxygen can be used to induce retinal vessel loss. However, unlike premature infants, the developmental stage at delivery of these animals is appropriate for their species. In human infants born before completion of the third trimester of pregnancy, factors such as insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), normally present at optimum concentrations in utero, are missing, which can also contribute to arrest of vessel growth. IGF-1 is crucial for normal growth and development of many tissues, including brain and blood vessels. Moreover, the loss of maternally-provided ω long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids seems to have a role in pathogenesis of retinopathy of prematurity (figure 1).

In severe disease, phase 2 begins when the increasingly metabolically active yet poorly vascularised retina (caused by suppression of vessel growth in phase 1) becomes hypoxic. Phase 2 is characterised by proliferation of blood vessels largely in response to hypoxia-driven increases in VEGF and erythropoietin. The new vessels poorly perfuse the retina and are leaky, which leads to fibrous scar formation and retinal detachment. In most infants retinopathy of prematurity regresses spontaneously and the retina vascularises fairly normally, although neural deficits (loss of photoreceptor function) can remain even in mild cases.

The transition between phase 1 and 2 seems to depend on the postmenstrual age of the infant rather than the postnatal age. In a study of infants with birthweights of less than 1251 g, disease onset began at roughly 30 weeks’ postmenstrual age and peaked at 36–38 weeks’ postmenstrual age, irrespective of gestational age at birth. This important finding suggests that onset of retinopathy of prematurity correlates more closely with postmenstrual age than with postnatal age, which points to an association between programmed timing of development and disease pathogenesis. However, this association might not be evident in extreme prematurity. In a study of infants with gestational ages at birth from 22 weeks to 26 weeks and 6 days, the onset of retinal vascular events corresponded more closely with postnatal age (mean range 8·6–9·6 weeks) than with postmenstrual age, which suggests that extreme prematurity confers additional risk for the development of retinopathy of prematurity since vascular events occurred earlier in more immature infants.

The timing of the phases of retinopathy of prematurity can be also be modified by exposure to very high concentrations of oxygen. In one study, even relatively mature preterm infants (gestational age at birth of 31·7 weeks [range 28–35 weeks]) lost retinal vessels (phase 1) and progressed to severe zone-1 neovascularisation (phase 2) when exposed to 100% oxygen after birth.

In some cases factors that cause preterm birth might also affect intrauterine retinal neurovascular development. Antenatal factors such as placental infection and inflammation might predispose the fetal retina to severe retinopathy of prematurity, and such a sensitisation effect might constitute a prephase of the disease.

**Risk factors**

**Oxygen**

The question of the correct balance between high oxygen supplementation in the early postnatal period to prevent death and lower oxygen to prevent vessel loss in phase 1 of retinopathy of prematurity remains unsettled, and remains crucially important in neonatology. After the first wave of retinopathy of prematurity, when the use of 100% oxygen made even some mature preterm babies blind, oxygen was restricted to 50% of inspired O₂, which resulted in about 16 deaths per case of blindness prevented. What constitutes the best oxygen saturation at different gestational ages and in each phase of disease is unknown, although hyperoxia can have different effects during phase 2 (when vascular proliferation is taking place) than it does in phase 1.
Oxygen in phases 1 and 2
Several observational studies have investigated oxygen saturation (as measured by pulse oximetry, \( \text{SpO}_2 \)) during phase 1 of retinopathy of prematurity with respect to progression to severe disease vs morbidity and mortality, although none is definitive with respect to this balance. Tinz and colleagues reported that in babies with a gestational age at birth of less than 28 weeks, those with an oxygen saturation target of 88–98% for the first 8 weeks of life needed treatment for retinopathy of prematurity four times as often as those with a target of 70–90%. No differences in survival or in incidence of cerebral palsy were seen. In a US national survey, infants with a birthweight of less than 1500 g who had a maximum \( \text{SpO}_2 \) greater than 98% in the first 2 postnatal weeks had severe retinopathy in 5.5% of cases, compared with 3.0% in those with a maximum \( \text{SpO}_2 \) of 98% or less (\( p=0.05 \)). With \( \text{SpO}_2 \) targets after age 2 weeks of greater than 92%, 3.3% of infants needed treatment for retinopathy of prematurity, compared with 1.3% when the target \( \text{SpO}_2 \) was 92% or less (\( p<0.0001 \)). Stage 3 or higher disease was seen in 5.5% of cases from among the infants with the higher saturation target, and in 2.4% of cases from among those with the lower target (\( p=0.0005 \)). In a study of 1544 infants who weighed less than 1000 g at birth, Sun reported that compared with those who had target \( \text{SpO}_2 \) greater than 95%, those with target \( \text{SpO}_2 \) of 95% or less had less stage 3 retinopathy of prematurity (10% vs 29%), less retinal surgery (4% vs 12%), less chronic lung disease (27% vs 53%), and similar mortality (17% vs 24%).

\( \text{SpO}_2 \) target ranges of 85–89% and 91–95% have been compared in two large, multicentre, double-blind, randomised controlled studies. The SUPPORT trial included 1316 infants born at a gestational age of 24 weeks to 27 weeks and six days. Compared with those in the high-oxygen-target group, infants in the low-oxygen-target group had slightly increased mortality (20% vs 16%, \( p=0.04 \)) and a significantly smaller proportion had severe retinopathy of prematurity (9% vs 18%, \( p<0.001 \)). A joint safety analysis of survival at 36 weeks’ postmenstrual age of 2315 infants from the BOOST-II trial and the 1316 infants from the SUPPORT trial showed reduced survival with the lower \( \text{SpO}_2 \) target range compared with the higher target range, with mortalities of 17% and 14%, respectively (\( p=0.015 \)). For the 1055 infants in the UK and Australian components of the BOOST-II trial who were managed after a change of calibration algorithm, mortality differences between the treatment groups were greater (22% vs 13%, \( p=0.001 \)), which led to recruitment being stopped. A collaborative meta-analysis (NeOProM), which includes data for infants from the SUPPORT, BOOST-II Australia, BOOST New Zealand, BOOST-II UK, and COT trials, is due to be reported soon.

Theoretically, oxygen in phase 2 of retinopathy of prematurity could suppress high concentrations of oxygen-regulated growth factors such as VEGF that cause proliferative disease. Several studies have examined this premise. Investigators of the STOP-ROP study reported no change in progression of prethreshold retinopathy of prematurity to proliferative disease after increasing oxygen saturation to 96–99% from the conventional 89–94% for at least 2 weeks. However, increased target oxygen saturation was associated with increased pulmonary complications. The BOOST study was a controlled trial in Australia of 358 babies with gestational ages of less than 30 weeks who were dependent on oxygen supplementation at 32 weeks, in which the effect of different oxygen targets during phase 2 of retinopathy of prematurity was investigated. Comparing \( \text{SpO}_2 \) target ranges of 91–94% and 95–98%, the investigators reported no differences in development at 12 months or in numbers of infants with different severities of disease.

Individually, these studies did not conclusively show a benefit from high oxygen saturation in phase 2. In a meta-analysis of ten studies, Chen and colleagues showed that the need for oxygen is different at different developmental stages and phases of retinopathy of prematurity; low oxygen saturation (70–96%) in the first few postnatal weeks and high oxygen saturation (94–99%) at postmenstrual ages of 32 weeks or older were both associated with decreased risk for progression to severe retinopathy of prematurity.

Fluctuations in oxygen concentrations during the first few weeks of life are also associated with risk of retinopathy of prematurity. Additionally, high incidence of intermittent hypoxia during the first 8 weeks of life is associated with later severe disease.

Although no individual study has been conclusive as to the best \( \text{SpO}_2 \) target, targets should be different in different stages of development and in the different phases of retinopathy of prematurity. Strict management of oxygen to minimise alternating hypoxia and hyperoxia and avoidance of undesired high oxygen saturations in phase 1 seem to be the most promising strategies to prevent retinopathy of prematurity, although this outcome has to be balanced against the effect on other morbidities such as cerebral palsy and death.

Gestational age and birthweight
Low gestational age and low birthweight for gestational age are major risk factors for retinopathy of prematurity. Both factors are related to the extent of immaturity of retinal neural and vascular development at birth, and therefore the retinal vulnerability to insult. Furthermore, the lower the gestational age and birthweight, the more profound the loss of factors normally provided by the intrauterine environment for which the immature fetus is unable to take over production. Additionally, low gestational age increases the duration of an infant’s exposure to adverse postnatal insults, contributing to the risk of retinopathy of prematurity.

When very preterm infants are born with a weight appropriate for gestational age, birthweight is likely to be a proxy for gestational age and not an independent risk
factor. If growth restriction occurs in utero, a child will be born small for gestational age. Results of several studies have suggested that being small for gestational age at birth is associated with an increased risk for retinopathy of prematurity. Other findings suggest that being born small for gestational age increases the risk for retinopathy of prematurity only in older infants (ie, older than 29 weeks gestational age at birth). Although one report showed an increased risk only among those with a gestational age at birth of less than 30 weeks, and not among those born at 31–32 weeks. Further work is needed to clarify whether growth restriction in utero contributes to risk for retinopathy of prematurity. Further work is needed to clarify whether growth restriction in utero contributes to risk for retinopathy of prematurity.

Gestational age, birthweight, and intrauterine exposure to adverse factors are fixed at birth. Therefore, identification of modifiable postnatal factors that affect retinopathy of prematurity is crucial, not only to assess continuing risk, but also to allow to normalise concentrations of important factors with respect to their concentration in utero, which might allow the immature retina to vascularise. Cessation of normal vascularisation is the precipitating event in retinopathy of prematurity.

IGF-1 and postnatal weight gain
In babies born preterm, a strong association exists between early-postnatal low serum IGF-1 concentrations and later retinopathy of prematurity and other prematurity-related morbidities. In utero, plasma IGF-1 increases with gestational age, particularly during the third trimester of pregnancy, and decreases after preterm birth with the loss of the maternal–fetal interaction. Most infants born before gestational age 33 weeks have a very slow increase in IGF-1 production after birth until about 44 weeks postmenstrual age, as the preterm infant matures. Full-term infants by comparison have a rapid increase in serum IGF-1 postnatally. Postnatal IGF-1 concentrations are nutrition dependent in older preterm infants and are reduced with starvation, infection, and stress.

Low IGF-1 is associated with poor retinal vascular growth in IGF-1-deficient mice, which suggests that low IGF-1 might contribute to suppression of vascular growth in retinopathy of prematurity. IGF-1 acts as a permissive factor for VEGF-dependent vascular endothelial cell growth, and IGFBP3, the major IGF-1-binding protein found in serum, also improves vessel survival in a mouse model of oxygen-induced retinopathy. Importantly, IGFBP3 concentrations are diminished in infants with retinopathy of prematurity. In preterm infants, low IGF-1 serum concentrations, as well as directly corresponding with the severity of retinopathy of prematurity, are also associated with poor brain growth as measured by head circumference.

IGF-1 is also strongly associated with postnatal weight gain of preterm children. The importance of postnatal weight gain in the development of retinopathy of prematurity was shown in the 1950s in mice and was shown in human infants in a clinical study in 2003. Low serum IGF-1 after preterm birth associated with poor postnatal growth and retinopathy of prematurity is also affected by immaturity, increased metabolic rate, insufficient nutrition, and concomitant illness, which could result in a vicious circle whereby nutrition is poorly assimilated and both general and vascular growth are impaired during the first few weeks of life.

Hyperglycaemia, insulin, and nutrition
Raised neonatal glucose concentrations also increase the risk for retinopathy of prematurity. In a study of 372 infants born at a gestational age of less than 30 weeks, increased nutrition alone (without IGF-1 supplementation) caused hyperglycaemia, which required increased insulin use. Both hyperglycaemia and insulin use were associated with an increase in both severe (from 4% to 9%) and milder forms of retinopathy of prematurity. These findings emphasise the importance of an integrated approach to prevention.

Increasing nutrition alone does not affect weight gain (normalised for gestational age) or IGF-1 concentrations in extremely low-birthweight infants, who seem unable either to increase IGF-1 concentrations with increased calories or to use calories for growth with low IGF-1 concentrations. Exogenous IGF-1 can improve growth in states of undernutrition. In rats fed half of needed calories, exogenous IGF-1 improved weight gain. Since postnatal weight gain predicts risk of retinopathy of prematurity, both increased nutrition and adequate IGF-1 concentrations seem to be necessary for postnatal growth and for a reduction in risk.

Additional attention should also be paid to nutrition components such as adequate protein, appropriate fats, and appropriate use of glucose and other carbohydrates. In animal studies, absence of ω-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids increases risk of retinopathy.

Since total parenteral nutrition rarely contains such fatty acids, provision of this lipid is likely to be beneficial. In a study of 1706 preterm infants in North America, those with extended use of total parenteral nutrition were at increased risk for the disease, independent of weight gain.

Other risk factors
Neonatal infections, particularly fungal infections, are also risk factors for retinopathy of prematurity. Late, but not early, neonatal bacteraemia is associated with severe retinopathy of prematurity in extremely low-gestational-age neonates. The increased risk associated with infection might be partly due to systemic inflammation, which could act synergistically with hyperoxia to mediate the effects of placenta infection. Blood transfusions have also been suggested as a possible risk factor for retinopathy of prematurity, but investigators of the only clinical trial that assessed this question reported no evidence of a link.
Genetic factors might also affect risk for retinopathy of prematurity. The disease occurs more often in white than in black infants and in boys than in girls. Genetic polymorphisms might change gene function, which could affect the disease; however, no genetic factor identified thus far accounts for a substantial number of patients with the disease. Future studies that make use of genomics and proteomics could be helpful in identification of relevant genetic factors.

Comorbidities

Retinopathy of prematurity could be a window into the state of postnatal development in the premature infant. It often occurs in conjunction with other neonatal morbidities such as neurological dysfunction, poor brain growth, necrotising enterocolitis, intraventricular haemorrhage, and bronchopulmonary dysplasia. In extremely preterm infants, severe retinopathy of prematurity predicts risk of death or major disability at age 11 years. Therefore addressing poor postnatal growth, hyperoxia, and infection and inflammation to reduce risk of retinopathy of prematurity might also reduce the risk of these comorbidities. Since the retina is part of the CNS, reduction of risk factors that affect postnatal retinal development might also have a positive effect on brain development.

Classification and screening

Classification of the stages of retinopathy of prematurity is necessary for the standardisation of treatment practices, and so that interventions can be assessed at a defined stage when progression to blindness is likely. Recommendations are summarised in the *International Classification of Retinopathy of Prematurity*, first published in 1985 and revised in 2005. The retina is divided into three zones and the extent or severity of disease in these zones is classified as stages (figure 3). Stages 1 and 2 are mild and likely to regress spontaneously. In stage 3, extraretinal neovascularisation can become severe enough to cause total retinal detachment (stage 5), which leads to blindness. The presence of increased dilation and tortuosity of posterior vessels (so-called plus disease, figure 4) is an ominous sign of progressive disease. The investigators of the Early Treatment for Retinopathy Of Prematurity (ETROP) study reclassified retinopathy of prematurity into type 2 (to be followed up) and type 1 (requires treatment). Type 1 now includes a more virulent form of retinopathy in extremely low-birthweight babies (aggressive posterior retinopathy of prematurity), which involves very central neovascularisation with plus disease.

Screening guidelines vary with the characteristics of the premature population and neonatal intensive care practices in different settings. Screening cutoffs range from 30 to 35 weeks' gestational age at birth and from birthweights of 1500 to 2000 g, and depend on the extent and quality of neonatal intensive care available. Unfortunately, in some parts of the world (eg, some developing countries) screening guidelines do not exist. Guidelines should evolve according to changes in the local preterm population at risk; although screening in the USA and Canada was previously recommended for babies with a gestational age at birth of less than 32 weeks, in a study of 2000 preterm infants we noted severe retinopathy of prematurity only in infants born before 29 weeks' gestational age. US guidelines have been changed and now recommend screening for infants with birthweights of 1500 g or less, or gestational age at birth of 30 weeks or less, as well as more mature infants who had a more unstable clinical course after delivery. In Sweden guidelines were adjusted in 2012 to set the screening cutoff for gestational age at birth to 31 weeks instead of 32 weeks.
To identify all infants who would benefit from treatment, repeated dilated eye examinations are done until the retina is fully vascularised. Eye examination for retinopathy of prematurity can be very painful for preterm infants, even when done by a skilled ophthalmologist. In the context of high-quality neonatal intensive care, with existing criteria only about 5–10% of infants screened will need treatment. Safely decreasing the number of stressful and costly screening examinations would be beneficial.

To address this issue, Hellström and colleagues developed an algorithm, WINROP, to identify early after birth infants at high and low risk of development of severe retinopathy of prematurity. Initially, changes in postnatal factors—IGF-1 and weight gain—were used to predict risk for severe retinopathy of prematurity. However, with only serial weight measurements (once per week from birth to 32 weeks postmenstrual age), WINROP also identified early all 35 of the 353 infants in a study who later developed proliferative retinopathy of prematurity that required treatment and 76% (268/353) of those who did not develop proliferative disease. A multicentre study of about 2000 preterm infants in the USA and Canada substantiated the high sensitivity (98.6%) and negative predictive value (99.7%) of the algorithm, which suggests that the number of screening examinations can be substantially reduced if WINROP is used in combination with traditional screening. That WINROP identified infants at risk for severe retinopathy of prematurity an average of 3 weeks (and as early as 1 week) after preterm birth when early weight gain was poor suggests the importance of early growth in the preterm child.

Currently, WINROP has been used for more than 10000 babies in neonatal intensive care units in Sweden, the USA, Canada, Brazil, Switzerland, and Mexico. Its variable specificity and poor positive predictive value in studies suggest that the algorithm should be used in addition to conventional screening guidelines. WINROP does not currently include infants with a gestational age at birth of more than 32 weeks. This limitation excludes more mature babies who are at risk for retinopathy of prematurity in developing countries, where a reduction in the conventional screening burden would be beneficial. Modification of WINROP to include these more mature infants would be valuable. Other groups have developed similar prediction methods based on postnatal weight gain. Telemedicine might further improve screening for retinopathy of prematurity by reducing the need for skilled ophthalmologists in every neonatal intensive care unit, through the centralisation of readings.

**Treatment**

Cryotherapy emerged in the 1980s as the method used on the first widely studied intervention—ablation of non-vascularised retina—that reduced structural and functional disease associated with retinopathy of prematurity. In the CRYO-ROP study, preterm infants were treated at the point of progression of retinopathy of prematurity (a subcategory of stage 3), at which time retinal neovascularisation was equally likely to progress to retinal detachment (high risk for blindness) or to regress. This point in disease progression was defined as the threshold. One eye was selected at random to be treated with cryotherapy, “while the other eye would run its natural course and serve as a control”. Treatment reduced blindness by 17% at age 10 years (70/227 in the treated group were blind, compared with 106/222 in the non-treated group).

After CRYO-ROP, many ophthalmologists believed that earlier treatment (before threshold) might benefit some extremely premature infants with severe retinopathy of prematurity. A computer-based algorithm, RM-ROP, which included more detailed risk criteria than were used in CRYO-ROP, was used to estimate new criteria for earlier treatment. The results of the subsequent ETROP study to test this hypothesis showed that blindness could be further reduced with earlier treatment in some patients, particularly those with aggressive posterior retinopathy of prematurity. The CRYO-ROP treatment criteria have now been replaced with the ETROP designations of type 1 retinopathy of prematurity (requires treatment) and type 2 (to be followed up). Treatment of type 1 disease with retinal ablation is intended to minimise unnecessary retinal destruction in cases that would regress spontaneously and to maximise the number of cases in which treatment prevents progression to retinal detachment. The designation of type 1 disease relies on assessment of plus disease. Despite widely accepted guidelines and diagnostic criteria for identification of plus disease, large interobserver differences exist, although this situation might be improved with computer-based image analysis of the retina. However, vessel calibre might be changed by non-adverted compression by the camera lens. Transpupillary laser treatment to ablate non-vascularised retina has effectively replaced cryotherapy, because of better visual outcomes and fewer adverse effects.
effects such as systemic complications. Treatment of retinopathy of prematurity can also include other modalities. Some reports suggest that with early retinal detachment (stage 4), lens-sparing vitrectomy might help to preserve vision.

**Long-term outcomes**

Much of our knowledge about outcomes in children with retinopathy of prematurity comes from the CRYO-ROP\[122,131,134-136\] and ETROP\[107,115,137,138\] studies. Severe retinopathy of prematurity often leads to long-term visual loss, with blindness in the most severe cases.\[139\] Without treatment, most non-proliferative retinopathy of prematurity regresses, but even non-proliferative disease is associated with visual deficits,\[139\] since preterm birth itself has lasting effects on the developing visual system. Retinopathy of prematurity is also associated with other eye problems. Infants treated with transpupillary laser for severe retinopathy of prematurity have an increased risk of myopia (up to 70% of such infants are affected).\[139\] Preterm birth is a risk factor for hyperopia and astigmatism.\[139\] 80% of children with a history of severe retinopathy of prematurity develop strabismus during the first 6 years of life.\[139\]

At age 8 years, a third of children with threshold retinopathy of prematurity from the CRYO-ROP study needed special education and almost half had lower-than-grade-level academic performance.\[140\] Some of the academic problems might be due to neurological deficits, which are strongly associated with retinopathy of prematurity.\[140\] At age 10 years, the proportion of eyes with good acuity (20/40 or better) was similar in the treated and untreated groups (roughly 25%), but fewer treated eyes were blind compared with untreated controls (33% vs 50%).\[140\]

For children from the ETROP study, at age 6 years poor visual acuity was equally likely in treated and untreated eyes. A subgroup analysis suggested a benefit from earlier (before threshold) compared with later (at threshold) treatment in more severe cases (type 1 disease; 16% vs 25%; p=0.004), but not in milder cases (type 2 disease; 21% vs 16%; p=0.29).\[141\]

**Candidate interventions for prevention and treatment**

Ablative treatment of non-vascularised retina when the risk of retinal detachment is substantial helps to prevent blindness, but does not address the underlying cause of retinopathy of prematurity or other comorbidities, which is the failure of normal neural and vascular growth. Furthermore, peripheral retina is destroyed to save central vision. Addressing the postnatal risk factors for retinopathy of prematurity might help to normalise postnatal growth and reduce risk.

Increasing nutrition alone seems to be insufficient to increase IGF-1 and promote postnatal weight gain in the early postnatal period in the most immature babies,\[142\] and insufficient to decrease risk of retinopathy of prematurity.\[142\] Instead, hyperglycaemia and insulin requirement are raised, both of which are associated with an increased risk.\[142\]

**Table 1: Assessments of WINROP algorithm for prediction of severe retinopathy of prematurity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Number of centres</th>
<th>NICU level*</th>
<th>Years (birth year)</th>
<th>Cohort definition</th>
<th>Alarm definition</th>
<th>Definition of severe ROP</th>
<th>Prevalence of severe ROP</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hellström et al,[100] 2009</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2004-07</td>
<td>GA &lt;32 weeks</td>
<td>High risk or low risk at &lt;32 weeks’ PMA</td>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flückiger et al,[123] 2011</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2003-08</td>
<td>GA &lt;32 weeks or birthweight &lt;1500 g</td>
<td>High risk or low risk at &lt;32 weeks’ PMA</td>
<td>Stage 3 or threshold</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zepeda-Romero et al,[124] 2012</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2005-10</td>
<td>GA &lt;32 weeks</td>
<td>High-risk or low risk at &lt;33 weeks’ PMA</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lofqvist et al,[126] 2006</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1999-2002</td>
<td>GA &lt;32 weeks</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Stage 3 or treated ROP</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lofqvist et al,[127] 2009</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2005-07</td>
<td>GA &lt;31 weeks</td>
<td>High risk at &lt;32 weeks’ PMA</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hård et al,[128] 2010</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2002-08</td>
<td>GA &lt;32 weeks</td>
<td>High risk or low risk at &lt;32 weeks’ PMA</td>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al,[129] 2010</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2005-08</td>
<td>GA &lt;32 weeks</td>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>Prethreshold or threshold and stage 3</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al,[130] 2012</td>
<td>1706</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2006-09</td>
<td>GA &lt;32 weeks</td>
<td>High risk or low risk at &lt;32 weeks’ PMA</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NICU=neonatal intensive care unit. ROP=retinopathy of prematurity. PPV=positive predictive value. NPV=negative predictive value. GA=gestational age. PMA=post-menstrual age. *NICU levels are 1 (special care), 2 (high-dependency care), and 3 (intensive care).
IGF-1 to in-utero concentrations. An IGF-1–IGFBP3 replacement trial (NCT01096784) is now underway, with reduction in the severity of retinopathy of prematurity as the primary endpoint and brain growth and other complications of premature birth as secondary endpoints.

During the third trimester of pregnancy, a massive transfer of essential fatty acids (ω-3 and ω-6 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids) from the mother to the fetus takes place; these essential fatty acids (especially ω-3 fatty acids) are often not provided after preterm birth.193 In a study in mice, adequate ω-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids reduced retinopathy by 50%, which suggests that replacement of these fatty acids in infants would reduce risk of retinopathy of prematurity.

Suppression of proliferative retinopathy (phase 2) with injection of anti-VEGF antibody has been reported in many small case-series—eg, by Harder and colleagues.193 In a trial194 of 150 infants randomly assigned to receive laser or intravitreal bevacizumab treatment, recurrence was slightly less likely in the bevacizumab group than in laser-treated group at 54 weeks’ postmenstrual age. A significant treatment effect with bevacizumab was seen for retinopathy of prematurity in zone 1, but not zone 2.194 However, visual outcomes and adverse systemic effects were not reported. Bevacizumab injected into the eye leaks into systemic circulation and reduces systemic VEGF concentrations, and might suppress systemic vascular growth or have other as-yet-unknown negative effects.195 Additional studies to assess the best choice of anti-VEGF drug, the optimum dose, the pharmacokinetics, and short-term and long-term safety are warranted.196,197

The β blocker propranolol has been proposed as a potential treatment to reduce retinal neovascularisation198 and clinical studies are underway in Israel (NCT01238471) and Italy (NCT01079715). However, investigators of a 2012 study199 report that propranolol does not reduce retinopathy in a mouse model of retinopathy of prematurity. Results of a meta-analysis suggest that the carbohydrate inositol can reduce risk of retinopathy of prematurity.

Conclusions
Retinopathy of prematurity continues to be a challenge in neonatology. International standards are needed for postnatal care to minimise risk of the disease, which differs substantially between countries. Although ablation of the non-vascularised retina according to ETROP criteria reduces blindness, many treated patients do not achieve good visual acuity. Prevention by reduction of risk factors that disrupt normal retinal vascularisation is likely to be more effective than late treatment of neovascularisation, not only with respect to vision, but also other comorbidities of premature birth. Careful control of oxygen saturation, normalisation of serum IGF-1 concentrations, provision of adequate nutrition, minimisation of hyperglycaemia and insulin use, normalisation of ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid concentrations, and curbing the negative effects of infection and inflammation could promote adequate postnatal growth and improve neural and vascular development of the retina. The coming decade will hopefully see the development of these and other new treatment approaches to prevent the disease and to reduce associated complications of preterm birth.
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